# California Housing Finance Agency ("CalHFA") <br> February 2, 2020 <br> Staff Report for Discussion <br> Tax-Exempt \& Taxable Conduit Issuance and Mixed Income Program Loan ("MIP") 

## CalHFA Project Number: 19-019-A/X

Purpose: Staff is providing Glen Loma Ranch's staff report that was approved by Senior Loan Committee (Executive Director) on January 16, 2020 under resolution 1902 for discussion.

Borrower: Gilroy Glen Loma Pacific Associates, a California Limited Partnership

## Loan Amount(s):

CaIHFA Conduit Issuance Amounts (TE/taxable): \$33,000,000 T/E, \$27,000,000 Taxable, and \$15,000,000 T/E (B series)

Perm Loan Amount (\& Lender): \$33,000,000 - Citibank
Perm Loan Term: 40 year amortized, due in 30
CaIHFA MIP Loan Amount: \$7,850,000 (\$50,321/unit)

## Project Information:

Name: Glen Loma Ranch
Address: N.W. Corner of W. Luchessa Ave \& Miller Ave., Gilroy, 95020
City, County, Zip Code: Gilroy, Santa Clara County, 9020
Project Description, \# of Units, Types and Restrictions: The Project is a mixedincome family and senior project consists of 158 total units, which comprise of 1,2 and 3 -bedroom units that will be restricted between $50 \%$ to $80 \%$ of AMI. There will be 77 senior units ( 1 and 2-bedrooms), 79 family units ( 2 and 3 bedrooms), and two nonrestricted manager's units. The project offers a community building with fitness and computer rooms, offices and meeting space, a laundry building, picnic and play areas, a half-basketball court, fenced dog park and a bocce ball court

## Development Team:

Pacific West Communities ("PWC") is a real estate development company specializing in design, development and construction of affordable housing in the western United States. The company has developed 169 affordable multifamily projects since its
inception in 1998. PWC completes about 10 projects a year and there are currently 16 projects under construction. Caleb Roope is President and CEO of PWC and has considerable experience with financing structures which include tax credits, bonds, HOME, MHP, CDBG and other state, local and federal sources.

Property Management Company: Aperto Property Management Inc. ("APM") will be the property manager for this Project. APM has a broad experience managing market rate and affordable tax credit projects. APM manages 63 tax credit projects in California totaling over 6,100 units, five of which are in the CalHFA portfolio and are operating well. APM's services include marketing, leasing, property maintenance/preservation, accounting/financial reporting, resident services, and affordable housing compliance.

General Contractor: Pacific West Builders ("PWB"), formed in 2003, is the construction arm of PWC and will be the general for this project as well. PWB has built $70 \%$ of the projects developed by PWC and currently has 11 projects in construction. PWB specializes in energy-efficient multifamily, single-family and modular construction.

Architect: Pacific West Architecture("PWA") is the architect for this project. PWA is an affiliate of the Developer and has designed over 80 multifamily projects. PWA is licensed in 18 states in western United States. PWA has built residential projects including workforce, senior, assisted living housing projects, as well as commercial and retail developments. PWA's services include entitlements, master use planning, design development, construction documentation and contract administration.

Affordability Restrictions \& Term: This Project provides 158 units of much needed rental housing that will remain affordable at rents ranging from $50 \%$ AMI to $120 \%$ of AMI for 55 years. The MIP funds will restrict $10 \%$ of the units ( 16 units) to $50 \%$ of AMI or less, $10 \%$ of units ( 16 units) to $80 \%$ of AMI or less, $10 \%$ of the units between $81 \%$ to $120 \%$ of AMI , and the remaining 108 units to $120 \%$ AMI or less for 55 years.

Affordability Restriction Summary Table

| Regulating Agency | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ AMI | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ <br> AMI | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ <br> $\mathbf{A M I}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 \% -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ <br> AMI | $<=$ <br> $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ | Mgrs. <br> Unit | Total <br> Units <br> Regulated | \% of <br> Regulated <br> Units |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CalHFA Bond Reg. <br> Agmt. | 16 | 47 |  |  |  | 2 | 63 | $39.9 \% \%$ |
| CalHFA MIP Reg <br> Agrmt. | 16 |  | 16 | 16 | 108 | 2 | 156 | $98.7 \%$ |
| City of Gilroy | 76 | 42 | 38 |  |  | 2 | 156 | $70.9 \%$ |
| Tax Credits | 76 | 42 | 38 |  |  | 2 | 156 | $88.6 \%$ |


| Rent Limit Summary Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Restrictions @ AMI | Total | Studio | 1-bd | 2-bd | 3-bd | 4-bd | \% of <br> Total |
| 30\% | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| 40\% | 0 | - |  |  | - | - | 0.0\% |
| 50\% | 76 | - | 22 | 30 | 24 | - | 48.1\% |
| 60\% | 42 | - | 11 | 17 | 14 | - | 26.6\% |
| 70\% | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| 80\% | 38 | - | 28 | 8 | 2 | - | 24.1 |
| 81\%-120\% | 0 | - |  |  | - | - | 0.0\% |
| 120\% or below | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Manager's Unit | 2 | - |  | 2 | - | - | 1.3\% |
| Total | 158 | 0 | 61 | 57 | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% |

Note: The affordability averages 60\% of AMI.
Staff Comments \& Project Status: MIP Program Documents require that projects "be prepared to submit CLDAC and TCAC by no later than December 2019 CDLAC allocation meeting". MIP Program Documents also limit the maximum amount of MIP subordinate financing to $\$ 5$ million (subject to exception that could increase the financing to no more than an additional $\$ 1$ million). Project was preliminarily approved by Agency for MIP financing with an anticipation to access the new State Tax Credits subsequently approved pursuant to Assembly Bill 101, which was signed by Governor Gavin Newsom in July 2019. CDLAC subsequently announced bond allocation for the remainder of 2019 would be allocated on a first come first serve basis and that the allocation in 2020 would be competitive. TCAC decided they would not allocate the new State Tax Credits until 2020 resulting in the projects receiving 2019 CDLAC allocation being ineligible for the new State Tax Credits. The inability to access the new State Tax Credits results in significant financing gaps for projects. For this Project, staff recommended and Board approved under resolution 19-19 that the maximum amount of MIP subordinate financing per project is lifted such that all MIP eligible units may receive MIP subsidy, respectively as the Agency determines to be necessary to offset the loss of State Tax Credits.

## Underwriting standard/term sheet variation for MIP subordinate loan:

- The MIP loan per unit is $\$ 49,683$ which exceeds the term sheet maximum of $\$ 40,000$ for a project with affordability levels between $30 \%$ to $120 \%$ AMI. This is an exception to Policy and is recommended by Multi-Family Underwriting and Credit Staff based on the following: approval facilitates the progression of a shovel ready project without delay; the project has received a CDLAC Bond Allocation in December 2019; the higher amount of MIP/unit allows the Developer to eliminate their state tax credit request and financing gap.
- The MIP term sheet requires repayment of the MIP loan to be $50 \%$ of net cash flow and of that $50 \%$, subordinate lenders share on a pro-rata basis. It also allows for deferment of repayment for up to 15 years. Therefore, request is made to defer payments to the MIP loan until the developer fee is paid off, estimated to be in year 12 after which time the MIP loan will be repaid by $12.5 \%$ of net cash flow.


## Attachments:

Project Financing Summary
Project Sources \& Uses of Funds
Project Cashflow

| Acquisition, Rehab, Construction \& Permanent Loans |  |  | Project Number |  |  | 19-019-A/X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Full Name | Glen Loma Ranch | Borrower Name: | Gilroy Glen | na Pacifi | sociates |  |
| Project Address | N.W. Corner of W. Luchessa Ave \& Miller | Managing GP: | Caleb Roop |  |  |  |
| Project City | Giiroy | Developer Name: | Pacific Wes | mmuniti |  |  |
| Project County | Santa Clara | Investor Name: | Boston Cap |  |  |  |
| Project Zip Code | 95020 | Prop Management: | Aperto Prop | Manag | It, Inc. |  |
|  |  | Tax Credits: |  | 4 |  |  |
| Project Type: | Mixed Income Loan Only (Conduit Perm Loan) | Total Land Area (acres): |  | 58 |  |  |
| Tenancy/Occupancy: | Fam/Sen | Residential Square Footage: |  | 22,029 |  |  |
| Total Residential Units: | 158 | Residential Units Per Acre: |  | 6.49 |  |  |
| Total Number of Buildings: | 12 | Covered Parking Spaces: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Stories: | 1 to 3 |  |  | 58 |  |  |
| Unit Style: | Flat | Total Parking Spaces: |  | 72 |  |  |
| Elevators: | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acq/Construction/Rehab Financing |  | Loan |  | Loan | Amort. | Starting |
|  |  | Amount | Loan | Term | Period | Interest |
|  |  | (\$) | Fees | (Mo.) | (Yr.) | Rate |
| Citibank, N.A. |  | 60,000,000 | 1.000\% | 24 | -- | 4.750\% |
| Bonneville "B Bonds" |  | 15,000,000 | 0.550\% | 24 | -- | 8.000\% |
| -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Investor Equity Contribution |  | 1,425,973 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Permanent Financing |  | Loan |  | Loan | Amort. | Starting |
|  |  | Amount | Loan | Term | Period | Interest |
|  |  | (\$) | Fees | (Yr.) | (Yr.) | Rate |
| MIP |  | 7,850,000 | 1.000\% | 55 | -- | 3.000\% |
| Conduit First Lien Loan-Citibank |  | 33,000,000 | -- | 30 | 40 | 4.150\% |
| Bonneville "B Bonds" |  | 15,000,000 | -- | 45 | 45 | 5.000\% |
| -- |  | -- | -- | -- | 45 | -- |
| Deferred Developer Fees |  | 5,940,000 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| -- |  | -- | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Investor Equity Contributions |  | 25,182,721 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Appraised Values Upon Completion of Rehab/Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appraisal Date: TBD <br> Investment Value (\$) TBD <br> Construct/Rehab LTC TBD <br> Construct/Rehab LTV TBD |  | Capitalization Rate: <br> Restricted Value (\$) <br> CalHFA Permanent Loan to Cost <br> CalHFA 1st Permanent Loan to Value <br> Combined CalHFA Perm Loan to Value |  |  | TBD |  |
|  |  | TBD |  |
|  |  | TBD |  |
|  |  | TB |  |
|  |  | TBD |  |
| Additional Loan Terms, Conditions \& Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction/Rehab Loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment/Performance Bond |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Completion Guarantee Letter of Credit |  |  |  |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent Loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expense Reserve Deposit |  |  |  |  | \$0 | Cash |  |  |  |
| Initial Replacement Reserve Deposit |  | \$0 | Cash |  |  |  |
| Annual Replacement Reserve Per Unit |  | \$250 | Cash |  |  |  |
| Date Prepared: $12 / 10 / 1$ |  | Senior Staff Date: |  |  |  | 1/22/20 |


| SOURCES \& USES OF FUNDS Glen Loma Ranch |  | Project Number |  | Final Commitment 19-019-A/X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOURCES OF FUNDS | CONST/REHAB | PERMANENT | TOTAL PROJEC | T SOURCES 0 | FUNDS |
|  |  |  | SOURCES (\$) | PER UNIT (\$) | \% |
| Citibank, N.A. | 60,000,000 |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Bonneville "B Bonds" | 15,000,000 |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - | - |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - | - |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - | - |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - | - |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Construct/Rehab Net Oper. Inc. | - |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Deferred Developer Fee |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Developer Equity Contribution |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Investor Equity Contribution | 1,425,973 |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| MIP |  | 7,850,000 | 7,850,000 | 49,684 | 9.0\% |
| - |  |  |  | - | 0.0\% |
| - |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - |  | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Conduit First Lien Loan-Citibank |  | 33,000,000 | 33,000,000 | 208,861 | 37.9\% |
| Bonneville "B Bonds" |  | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 94,937 | 17.2\% |
| - |  |  | - | - | 0.0\% |
| - |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |
| - |  | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Construct/Rehab Net Oper. Inc. |  | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Deferred Developer Fees |  | 5,940,000 | 5,940,000 | 37,595 | 6.8\% |
| Developer Equity Contribution |  | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Investor Equity Contributions |  | 25,182,721 | 25,182,721 | 159,384 | 29.0\% |
| TOTAL SOURCES OF FUNDS | 76,425,973 | 86,972,721 | 86,972,721 | 550,460 | 64.2\% |
| TOTAL USES OF FUNDS (BELOW) | 76,425,973 | 86,972,721 | 86,972,721 | 550,460 | 100.0\% |
| FUNDING SURPLUS (DEFICIT) | - | - | - |  |  |


| USES OF FUNDS | CONST/REHAB$\$$ | PERMANENT <br> \$ | TOTAL PROJECT USES OF FUNDS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | USES (\$) | PER UNIT (\$) | \% |
| ONSTRUCTION/REHAB SOURCES OF FUNDS |  | 76,425,973 |  |  |  |
| ACQUISITION COSTS <br> Lesser of Land Cost or Appraised Value Demolition Costs Legal \& Other Closing Costs <br> Escrow \& other closing costs <br> Verifiable Carrying Costs <br> Existing Improvements Value <br> Delinquent Taxes Paid @ Closing <br> CalHFA Yield Maintenance Paid @ Closing <br> Existing Replacement Reserve <br> Broker Fees Paid to Related Party <br> Other (Off-site improvements) <br> Other (Specify) | $5,310,000$ |  | $5,310,000$ | $33,608$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \\ & 6.1 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL ACQUISITION COSTS | 5,310,000 | - | 5,310,000 | 33,608 | 6.1\% |
| CONSTRUCTION/REHAB COSTS <br> Offsite Improvements <br> Environmental Remediation (Hard Costs) <br> Site Work (Hard Cost) <br> Structures (Hard Cost) <br> General Requirements <br> Contractor Overhead <br> Contractor Profit <br> Contractor Bond <br> Contractor Liability Insurance <br> Personal Property <br> HVAC/Resident Damage | $\begin{array}{r} 5,056,000 \\ 39,382,510 \\ 2,984,911 \\ 1,054,666 \\ 3,164,005 \end{array}$ | - - - - - - - - - - - | $\begin{array}{r} 5,056,000 \\ 39,382,510 \\ 2,984,911 \\ 1,054,666 \\ 3,164,005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 32,000 \\ 249,256 \\ 18,892 \\ 6,675 \\ 20,025 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \% \\ 0.0 \% \\ 5.8 \% \\ 45.3 \% \\ 3.4 \% \\ 1.2 \% \\ 3.6 \% \\ 0.0 \% \\ 0.0 \% \\ 0.0 \% \\ 0.0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCT/REHAB COSTS | 51,642,092 | - | 51,642,092 | 326,849 | 59.4\% |



| SOURCES \& USES OF FUNDS Glen Loma Ranch |  | Project Number |  | Final Commitment19-019-A/X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USES OF FUNDS | $\begin{gathered} \text { CONST/REHAB } \\ \$ \end{gathered}$ | PERMANENT \$ | TOTAL PROJECT USES OF FUNDS |  |  |
|  |  |  | USES (\$) | PER UNIT (\$) | \% |
| PERMANENT LOAN COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan Fees |  |  |  |  |  |
| CalHFA Application Fee | 10,000 | - | 10,000 | 63 | 0.0\% |
| MIP | 39,250 | 39,250 | 78,500 | 497 | 0.1\% |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
|  |  | - | - |  | 0.0\% |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Conduit First Lien Loan-Citibank | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Bonneville "B Bonds" | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| - - | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| - | - | 88,140 | 88,140 | 558 | 0.1\% |
| Credit Enhancement \& Application Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Title \& Recording (closing costs) | - | 30,000 | 30,000 | 190 | 0.0\% |
| Year 1-Taxes \& Special Assessments and Insura | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| CalHFA Fees | - | 11,860 | 11,860 | 75 | 0.0\% |
| Tax Exempt Bond Allocation Fee | 85,000 | - | 85,000 | 538 | 0.1\% |
| Other (Bond Counsel, Financial Advisor, Etc.) | 130,252 | - | 130,252 | 824 | 0.1\% |
| TOTAL PERMANENT LOAN COSTS | 264,502 | 169,250 | 433,752 | 2,745 | 0.5\% |
| LEGAL FEES |  |  |  |  |  |
| CalHFA Construction/Rehab Loan Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Other Construction/Rehab Loan Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| CalHFA Permanent Loan Legal Fees | - | 15,000 | 15,000 | 95 | 0.0\% |
| Other Permanent Loan Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Sponsor Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Organizational Legal Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Syndication Legal Fees | 30,000 | - | 30,000 | 190 | 0.0\% |
| Borrower Legal Fee | 100,000 | - | 100,000 | 633 | 0.1\% |
| CalHFA Bond Counsel | 50,000 | - | 50,000 | 316 | 0.1\% |
| TOTAL LEGAL FEES | 180,000 | 15,000 | 195,000 | 1,234 | 0.2\% |
| OPERATING RESERVES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expense Reserve Deposit | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Initial Replacement Reserve Deposit | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Transition Operating Reserve Deposit | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Rent-Up Reserve Deposit | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| HOME Program Replacement Reserve | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Investor Required Reserve -Post Construction Inte | - | 696,748 | 696,748 | 4,410 | 0.8\% |
| Other (Specify) | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| TOTAL OPERATING RESERVES | - | 696,748 | 696,748 | 4,410 | 0.8\% |
| REPORTS \& STUDIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appraisal Fee | 10,000 | - | 10,000 | 63 | 0.0\% |
| Market Study Fee | 10,000 | - | 10,000 | 63 | 0.0\% |
| Physical Needs Assessment Fee | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Environmental Site Assessment Reports | 7,500 | - | 7,500 | 47 | 0.0\% |
| HUD Risk Share Environmental / NEPA Review $\ddagger$ | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| CalHFA Earthquake Waiver Review Fee | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Relocation Consultant | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Soils Reports | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Acoustical Reports | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Termite/Dry Rot | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Consultant/Processing Agent | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Other (Specify) | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| TOTAL REPORTS \& STUDIES | 27,500 | - | 27,500 | 174 | 0.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| SOURCES \& USES OF FUNDS Glen Loma Ranch |  | Project Number |  | Final Commitment 19-019-A/X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USES OF FUNDS | $\begin{gathered} \text { CONST/REHAB } \\ \$ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PERMANENT } \\ \$ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL PROJECT USES OF FUNDS |  |  |
|  |  |  | USES (\$) | PER UNIT (\$) | \% |
| OTHER COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| TCAC Application, Allocation \& Monitor Fees | 93,335 | - | 93,335 | 591 | 0.1\% |
| CDLAC Fees | 26,250 | - | 26,250 | 166 | 0.0\% |
| Local Permits \& Fees | 560,000 | - | 560,000 | 3,544 | 0.6\% |
| Local Impact Fees | 6,292,439 | - | 6,292,439 | 39,826 | 7.2\% |
| Other Local Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Syndicator/Investor Fees \& Expenses | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Furnishings | 80,000 | - | 80,000 | 506 | 0.1\% |
| Accounting \& Audits | 10,000 | - | 10,000 | 63 | 0.0\% |
| Advertising \& Marketing Expenses | 171,105 | - | 171,105 | 1,083 | 0.2\% |
| Financial Consulting | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Miscellaneous Administrative Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| HUD Risk Share Insurance (First Year Prepaid) | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Other (Specify): Special Counsel \& Servicing Cost | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Other (Specify) | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| TOTAL OTHER COSTS | 7,233,129 | - | 7,233,129 | 45,779 | 8.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBTOTAL PROJECT COSTS | 76,091,723 | 78,306,971 | 77,972,721 | 493,498 | 89.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEVELOPER FEES \& COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developer Fees, Overhead \& Profit | 334,250 | 8,665,750 | 9,000,000 | 56,962 | 10.3\% |
| Consultant Processing Agent | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Project Administration | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Syndicator Consultant Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Guarantee Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Construction Oversight \& Management | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Other Adminstration Fees | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| Other (Specify) correction to balance | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| CASH EQUITY OUT TO DEVELOPER | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
| TOTAL DEVELOPER FEES \& COSTS | 334,250 | 8,665,750 | 9,000,000 | 56,962 | 10.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PROJECT COSTS | 76,425,973 | 86,972,721 | 86,972,721 | 550,460 | 100.0\% |




